

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Restriction/Election

The Office considered the claims of the present application to be directed to patentably distinct inventions. Specifically, the Examiner required election of a single group of two groups of inventions, wherein Group (I) reads on claims 1-20, and wherein Group (II) reads on claims 21-25.

The applicant disagrees. Nevertheless, the applicant *elects without traverse Group (I) reading on claims 1-20*. Furthermore, claims 1 and 14 were amended to conform the claims to the claims previously filed in the response to search report in the corresponding International Phase. *Claims 21-25 were canceled.*

REQUEST FOR ALLOWANCE

Claims 1-20 are pending in this application. The applicant requests allowance of all pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

RUTAN & TUCKER

By 

Martin Fessenmaier, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 46,697

Tel.: (714) 641-5100